

Ref Number	Risk Area	Danish al Dial			Trend		
		Residual Risk	Newest			Ole	dest
1	Combined Fire Authority does not get created	6	\longleftrightarrow				
2	Insufficient Staff available for BAU	8	←				
3	Serious Injury or Death of an Employee	10	\longleftrightarrow				
4	Catastrophic failure of our mobilising system	4	\longleftrightarrow				
5	Cyber attack	6	←	1			
6	Emerging changes to legislation (post Grenfell)	9	←				
7	Impacts of climate emergency	3	\longleftrightarrow				
8	Future financial planning uncertainty	12	1	1			
9	Firefighter Contamination	12	\longleftrightarrow				
10	Unexpected Demand	3					





Our Priorities:

- 1. Our Communities. We work together to understand different community needs and deliver accessible, local services which build safer places.
- 2. Our People. We look after each other by creating great places to work and promoting the health, wellbeing and safety of our people.
- 3. **Public Value.** We plan over the longer-term to ensure our decisions and actions deliver efficient and effective public services.
- 4. High Performance. Our diverse teams are trusted, skilled and feel equipped to deliver a leading fire and rescue service today and into the future.
- 5. Learning & Improving. We have the support of policy and guidance with the freedom to use our discretion to do the right thing, learning from ourselves and others.

Criteria for inclusion of risks:

Could the risk result in a serious or significant impact on the delivery of Our Priorities

Rank

Risks are ranked in the summary table according to overall Residual Risk.

Inherent and Residual Risk

- Inherent risk is the risk level that exists without any controls applied.
- Residual risk is whatever risk level remains after additional controls are applied.

Risk Trend

The risk trend shows whether the risk has increased or decreased recently. The direction arrow indicates whether the risk is stable: rising 1 or reducing







Ref Number -											
Risk Area	Description and Impact	Our Priority	Risk Scores				Re	cent 1 Newe Olde	est		Risk Owner
	Combined Fire		Inherent Risk Level:								
	Authority does		Likelihood	Severity	Risk						
	not get created		3	3	9						
			Residual Risk Level:								
	<u>Impact:</u>		Likelihood	Severity	Risk						
			2	3	6						
Political	Potential for IWFRS to have no strategic leadership Continued lack of investment in IWFRS estate	1,2,3	Tolerance Threshold	:	Below	1	**	↔	↔	\	Steve Apter
Causes / Sources	Mitigation	s	Pro	gress and O	wnership	of M	itigatio	on			Effectiveness – Commentary
	Project timelines monitored and adh	nered to	Ongoing – Steve Apto	er							
CFA Team don't deliver	Effective and effici resourcing	ent	Ongoing – Steve Apter						CFA currently progressing		
all targets	CFA team gaining the from other teams	ouy-in	Ongoing – Steve Apter					well towards target dates			
	Assurance process undertaken		Ongoing – Steve Apter								





	Effective communications		
Central	between Executive Group,		Go ahead provided by
Government	HFRA, IWC and Central	Mitigations have led to approval by HFRS and IWC – Steve Apter	' '
alter position	Government (Civil Service		Government
	and Politicians)		





Organisationa Reis N Beginte 2

TOTA KRIDN CHEWELZ		T	1			1					T
	Description and	Our	_					ent T	rend		
Risk Area	Impact	Priority	F	isk Scores		Newest Oldest					Risk Owner
		-	Inhonort Die	Library Did Land			est				
	Insufficient Staff		Inherent Risk Level:		Dial						
	available for BAU		Likelihood	Severity	Risk						
			3 Residual Ris	5	15						
	Impact:				Diele						
	Fewer appliances available		Likelihood	Severity	Risk						
	avaliable		2	4	8						
	Poorer response times										
Political	Overtime for staff not	1,2,3,4					_	L		_	Steve Apter
	taking industrial action	_,_,,									
	6										
	Management - staff		Tolerance T	hreshold:	At Tolerance						
	and inter-staff				Tolerance						
	relations										
	Administrative burden										
	– wage / pension										
	changes										
Causes / Sources	Mitigations		Pr	ogress and	Ownership	of M	litiga	tion			Effectiveness – Commentary
On Call	Engagement with Natior Project	nal On-Call	Stew Adams	son							Recruitment rate and retention rate currently
Recruitment/Retention	On Call Support Team		Stew Adams	on							above national average
	Effective engagement w	ith NECC	Jiew Audilis	0011							
Industrial Astion due	local, regional and nation										
Industrial Action due	body personnel, local an	•	Ongoing – N	leil Odin							Management last wood 2015
to National Issues:	government politicians	a central									Measures last used 2015
		·		Ongoing – Steve Apter							
	Thor planning for indust	i iai action	Oligoling - 3	teve Aptel							





-	tional Mak Negister			
	Enhanced Medical			
	Response / Rolemap			
	issues/ Post Grenfell			
	Industrial Action due	Local staff and rep body engagement	Proposal not yet live – Stew Adamson	
	to local issues:	Wider comms	Proposal not yet live – Stew Adamson	Proposal not yet live. Prior planning measures in place
	Enforced changes to	Effective planning for delivery	Proposal not yet live – Stew Adamson	and last used 2015
	crewing system	Prior planning for industrial action	Ongoing – Stew Adamson	
		Follow PHE guidance for staff welfare	Ongoing – Steve Apter	Developing situation with effectiveness of measures
	Pandemic Influenza	Reduce/cease non-essential activities	Ongoing – Steve Apter	still to be assessed
		Dedicated team to manage	Ongoing – Steve Apter	





Ref Number 3			1							T.
Risk Area	Description and Impact	Our		Risk Scores		New		ent Trenc	l	Risk Owner
Misk Alea	Description and impact	Priority	Misk Scores			Olde				KISK OWITEI
	Death of an Employee		Inherent Risk Level:							
			Likelihood	Severity	Risk					
	<u>Impact:</u>		3	5	15					
Societal	Mental health and		Residual Risl		T					
	wellbeing of staff and family		Likelihood	Severity	Risk	1				
			2	5	10					
	Organisational reputation Operational due to 2 reduced staffing – watch off the run, inquiry to staff Legal – liabilities? HSE / Police investigation Financial		Tolerance Threshold:		At Tolerance					Steve Apter
Causes / Sources	Mitigations			Progress ar	d Ownership	of M	itigati	on	l	Effectiveness – Commentary
	Effective mental health strat	egy	Live, effectiv	e and ongoir	ng – Molly Ro	wland				Mental health
Death outside work			·							provisions and
Satisfaction No. 10	Support for physical health and fitness		Live, effective and ongoing – Molly Rowland							awareness improving





ational Risk Register			
			throughout
			Service
			PPG Framework
			now live
	Effective mental health strategy	Live, effective and ongoing – Molly Rowland	Mental health
	<u> </u>		provisions and
	Support for physical health and fitness	Live, effective and ongoing – Molly Rowland	awareness
			improving
	Effective procedures/guidance followed	Live, effective and ongoing – Steve Apter	throughout
			Service
Dooth in			PPG Framework
Death in work time			now live
	PPE effective and maintained	Live, effective and ongoing – Steve Apter	Processes for
			monitoring and
			assuring PPE
			appropriateness
			and standards in
			place





Ref Number - 4												
Risk Area	Description and Impact	Our Priority	I	Risk Scores			Red	cent Tr	end		Risk Owner	
						Newest Old					t	
	Catastrophic failure of our		Inherent Risk Level:									
	mobilising system		Likelihood	Severity	Risk							
			2	4	8							
	Impact:		Residual Ris	k Level:								
Technological		1,4	Likelihood	Severity	Risk	\longleftrightarrow	\longleftrightarrow	\longleftrightarrow	\longleftrightarrow	\longleftrightarrow	Stew Adamson	
recimological	Additional Control resources	1,7	1	4	4						Stew Addition	
	required											
	Delay to dispatch of assets		Tolerance Ti	nreshold:	Below							
Causes / Sources	Mitigations			Progress a	and Owne	rship of	Mitiga	ition			Effectiveness – Commentary	
One fire control in Partnership non- operational	Control functions transferred partnership	to another	Current, tested practice. Owner – S			tew Ada	amson		Partnership fully operational			
Partnership system becomes non-operational	Manual control system utilised	d	Current procedure for this in place Adamson			and test	ted. Ow	Process well established				





Ref Number - 5		T				1					
Risk Area	Description and Impact	Our Priority		Risk Scores				cent Tr			Risk Owner
							est	1		Oldest	
	Successful cyber-attack		Inherent Ris								
	on our ICT infrastructure		Likelihood	Severity	Risk						
			3	4	12						
	Impact:		Residual Risk Level:								
	Computer systems not		Likelihood	Severity	Risk						
	functioning		3	2	6						
Technological	Non-functioning control system – see risk above					\longleftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	←→	\longleftrightarrow	1	Matt Robertson
	Loss of personal data	Tolerance Th	reshold:	At Tolerance							
	GDPR breaches										
	Reduced functioning of all departments										
Causes / Sources	Mitigation	าร	Progress and Ownership of Mitigation							Effectiveness – Commentary	
	Effective staff training to remailicious emails being ope		Current and ongoing – Matt Robertson								Reduction of issues after
External Cyber attack	Effective firewall and other security measures		Current and ongoing – Matt Robertson								initial IT transformation
	Software updated and ma	intained	Ongoing – M	att Robertso	n						problems
	Staff vetting		Recruitment	and retentio	n, ongoing –	Molly F	Rowlan				Staff vetting in place.
Malicious system	Effective monitoring		Current and	ongoing – Ma	att Robertso	n					piace.
user	Internal access/security systems		Current and ongoing – Matt Robertson							Ongoing systems security	





Organisa	itional Risk Register		
			procedures
			which are
			proving
			effective
			1





Ref Number – 6											
Risk Area	Description and Impact	Our Priority		Risk Scores			Red	ent Tr	end		Risk Owner
						Newest				Oldest	
	Emerging changes to		Inherent Risk Level:								
	legislation (post Grenfell)		Likelihood	Severity	Risk						
			3 3 9								
	Impact:		Residual Risl								
			Likelihood	Severity	Risk						Stew
Legal	Requirement for step-	1,4,5	3	3	9					-	Adamson
	change in Fire Safety duties Additional legal liabilities		Tolerance Th	reshold:	At Tolerance						
Causes / Sources	Mitigation	าร		Progress	and Owners	rship of Mitigation					Effectiveness - Commentary
Legislation requiring	Increase number of Fire Sa	ifety Inspectors	Ongoing - Stew Adamson								Awareness and
additional fire safety inspections by competent Fire	Reduce systems workload improve efficiency	Ongoing - Stew Adamson								monitoring of developments are ongoing as the situation advances	
Safety Inspectors	Improved partnership working with housing officers / councils		Ongoing - Stew Adamson								
Increase in potential	Improve fire safety training for all responding staff	g and knowledge	Ongoing - Sto	ew Adamson							This is being monitored as
liability	Increase number of fire safety inspectors		Ongoing - Stew Adamson								developments
	Exploration of insurance o	Ongoing – Matt Robertson							are still ongoing		





Ref Number - 7]		T	D: 1 C		ı					5:10	
Risk Area	Description and Impact	Our Priority		Risk Scores		Nowo	Recent Trend Newest			Oldest	Risk Owner	
	Impacts of climate emergency		Inherent Ris	k Level:	Risk	Newc						
			1	4	4							
	Impact:	1,3	Residual Risk Level:							Stew		
Environmental	Response to increase in severe weather-related		Likelihood	Severity	Risk	\leftrightarrow	\rightarrow				Adamson	
			1	3	3							
	incidents		Tolerance Th	reshold:	At Tolerance							
Causes / Sources	Mitigation	ns	Progress and Owners			rship of Mitigation					Effectiveness - Commentary	
	Resilience planning and p	Ongoing – St	eve Apter									
Interruption of services and	Partnership working e.g. E Agency	Environment	Ongoing – Matt Robertson								Benefits	
increase in demand	Estate climate change res	ilience	Ongoing – M	latt Robertso	n						shown in 2014 events,	
	Effective contribution to a LRF	and benefits from	Ongoing – St	Ongoing – Steve Apter								
	Ability to access funding r	eserves/Bellwin	Ongoing – Rob Carr								learning and improvements occurring	
Reputational damage if not responding to normal incidents	Effective comms for publi	c and staff	Ongoing – Matt Robertson							Coccining		









Ref Number – 8											
Risk Area	Description and Impact	Our Priority	Risk Scores			Recent Trend				Risk Owner	
						Newe	st			Oldest	
	Future financial planning		Inherent Ris	k Level:							
	uncertainty		Likelihood	Severity	Risk						
			4	4	16						
Economic	Impact:		Residual Risl								
	Inability to doliver	1,2,3,4	Likelihood	Severity	Risk	\longleftrightarrow		4			Rob Carr
	Inability to deliver		4	3	12					_	
	services in usual manner		Tolerance Th	reshold:	At Tolerance						
Causes / Sources	Mitigations		Progress and Ownership of Mitigation							1	Effectiveness - Commentary
Reduced central government funding	government funding costs whilst maintaining appliance availability		Ongoing – Rob Carr Ongoing – Steve Apter								Reserves are well established Degradation plan in
	Contingency planning for further reduced operating costs with reduced appliance availability		Ongoing – Steve Apter								existence
	Situation Monitoring		Ongoing – Rob Carr								Impact on
Brexit	Sufficient reserves		Ongoing – Rob Carr							economy and supply chain costs being monitored	





			т
	Sufficient reserves and revenue contributions to reserves built into core budget	Ongoing – Rob Carr	Reserves are well established
Covid-19 impact on the national and global economy,	Contingency planning for reduced operating costs whilst maintaining appliance availability	Ongoing – Steve Apter	Degradation plan in
future grant settlements and local reductions in council tax and business rates income.	Contingency planning for further reduced operating costs with reduced appliance availability	Ongoing – Steve Apter	Significant uncertainty going forward at this stage hence change to risk score





Ref Number – 9									
Risk Area	Description and Impact	Our Priority	F	Risk Scores			Recent	Trend	Risk Owner
								Oldest	
	Contaminants risk		Inherent Ris	k Level:					
	leading to ill health in		Likelihood	Severity	Risk				
	work force		4	4	16				
			Residual Ris	k Level:					
Societal	Impact:		Likelihood	Severity	Risk				_
		2	3	4	12	\longleftrightarrow			Stew
	Increased staff ill health								Adamson
	Potential future legal								
	challenges		Tolerance Threshold: Bel		Below				
	enanenges								
		l			_L	L L	<u> </u>	1	Effectiveness
Causes / Sources	Mitigation	าร		Progres	ss and Ow	nership o	f Mitigation		_
									Commentary
	Health and Cafety Cahana		On Going Live – Steve Apter						Current
	Health and Safety Scheme		On doing Liv	mitigations					
			_		are reasonable				
	Policy and Procedures		Complete – Stew Adamson						and effective
Fire Ground									and further
Contaminants	Fire Ground Gas Monitorin	ng	On Going Development – Stew Adamson						efforts are
									being made to
	Fire Consumal Content in the		On Going Development – Matt Robertson						understanding
	Fire Ground Contaminant	management on							the risk and reduce any
	our estate and buildings								potential risk
			1						Potential HSK





Risk Area	Description and Impact	Our Priority	Risk Scores			Recent Trend					Risk Owner
		Jan Carlo					Newest Oldest				
	Unexpected Demand		Inherent Ris	k Level:							
			Likelihood	Severity	Risk						
	Impact:		3	2	6						
	Increased workloads		Residual Ris	k Level:							
	for staff increasing		Likelihood	Severity	Risk						
	stress		3	1	3						
Societal	Increased workloads for staff inhibiting delivery of BAU Increased demand reducing ability to respond to other incidents	1,2,3,4	Tolerance Th	nreshold:	Below					Steve Apter	
Causes / Sources	Mitigations		Progress and Ownership of Mitigation						Effectiveness - Commentary		
	Pandemic Management	Геат	Live team is active – Steve Apter						PMT		
Coronavirus	Local Resilience Forum		Engagement with LRF – Steve Apter						effectively managing ongoing		
	Partnership Working		Engagement with partners and support requirements being monitored – Steve Apter						itored	situation	
Major Flooding	Partnership Working		Partnerships response to	Flooding – S	tew Adam	son	·				Plans in place
	Local Resilience Forum		LRF active Er	ngagement t	hrough Re	silience	Team -	- Steve	Apter		and ongoing





		1	Т		
	Business Continuity Planning	BC Plans for spate conditions resulting in high demand in place – Steve			
	Business continuity riamining	Apter			
	Cross-border partnerships	Arrangements in place for support from other FRS' - Stew Adamson			
		Partnerships in place with Forestry England, Councils, National Park			
	Partnership Working	Authorities and landowners to reduce risk of wildfires and improve			
		response to them – Stew Adamson			
Wildfires	Local Resilience Forum	LRF active Engagement through Resilience Team – Steve Apter	and ongoing		
	Business Continuity Blooming	BC Plans for spate conditions resulting in high demand in place – Steve			
	Business Continuity Planning	Apter Apter			
	Cross-border partnerships	Arrangements in place for support from other FRS' - Stew Adamson			
	Local Resilience Forum	Engagement with LRF – Steve Apter	Plans in place		
Industrial Assident	Business Continuity Blooming	BC Plans for spate conditions resulting in high demand in place – Steve			
Industrial Accident	Business Continuity Planning	Apter	and ongoing		
	Cross-border partnerships	Arrangements in place for support from other FRS' - Stew Adamson			

Total Risk Score ranked by cumulative residual risk in live spreadsheet.

(To provide a broader picture and prevent overlooking an accumulation of lower risks)

PESTEL Analysis	Cumulative Inherent Risk	Cumulative Residual Risk	Trend
Sociological	37	25	
Environmental	20	16	
Political	24	14	
Economic	16	12	





Technological	20	10	
Legal	9	9	

Service Priority	Cumulative Inherent Risk	Cumulative Residual Risk	Trend
Our Communities (1)	95	65	
Our People (2)	91	57	
Public Value (3)	66	45	
High Performance (4)	70	42	
Learning & Improving (5)	9	9	

	Measures of Likelihood - Probability							
LEVEL	DESCRIPTOR	DESCRIPTION						
1	Very unlikely	The event may occur only in exceptional circumstances.						
2	Unlikely	The event could occur at some time.						
3	Moderate	The event will occur at some time.						
4	Likely	The event could occur in most circumstances.						
5	Very likely	The event will occur in most circumstances.						
	Measures of Severity (Consequence)							
LEVEL	DESCRIPTOR	DESCRIPTION						





1	Negligible	Minor local first aid treatment (e.g. minor cuts/abrasions), minimal work interruption
2	Minor	Injury requiring first aider treatment causing inability to continue with current work activity for 3 days or less. Minimal financial loss or damage.
3	Serious	Medical treatment required. RIDDOR over 7 day lost-time injuries. Moderate environmental implications. Moderate financial loss or damage. Moderate loss of reputation. Moderate business interruption.
4	Major	Permanent or life changing injuries. RIDDOR major injuries. High environmental implications. Major financial loss or damage. Major loss of reputation. Major business interruption.
5	Fatalities	Single or multiple deaths, stops the Originations from functioning





	LIKELIHOOD						
		1 Very Unlikely	2 Unlikely	3 Moderate	4 Likely	5 Very Likely	
5 Major		5	10	15	20	25	
4 Serious		4	8	12	16	20	
3 Moderate	SEVERITY	3	6	9	12	15	
2 Minor	S	2	4	6	8	10	
1 Negligible		1	2	3	4	5	



